1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 7 CHRISTOPHER COWAN, 8 Plaintiff, Case No. C17-1769-RAJ-MAT 9 ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO 10 v. REQUEST OR DECLINE PRO BONO **COUNSEL** DR. BARNETT, et al., 11 Defendants. 12 13 14 Plaintiff Christopher Cowan is a state prisoner who is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On January 4, 2018, after screening 15 plaintiff's civil rights complaint in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a), this Court ordered that 16 17 plaintiff's complaint be served on each of the defendants identified therein. (See Dkt. 6, 7.) On March 2, 2018, defendants filed a motion to dismiss plaintiff's complaint under Rule 12(b)(6) of 18 19 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. (Dkt. 15.) That motion is currently ripe for consideration on the Court's calendar. (*Id.*) 20 21 Plaintiff has not filed a response to defendants' motion to dismiss but, given the nature of the medical issue raised in plaintiff's complaint, the Court is reluctant to construe plaintiff's lack 22 23 of response as a concession that dismissal is appropriate. The Court is inclined, instead, to grant ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO REQUEST

OR DECLINE PRO BONO COUNSEL - 1

plaintiff an additional opportunity to file a response to defendants' motion. However, before establishing a new deadline for plaintiff to file such a response, the Court deems it appropriate to first invite plaintiff to file a motion for appointment of pro bono counsel. Thus, if plaintiff wishes for the Court to appoint pro bono counsel to represent him in this matter, plaintiff shall file a request for appointment of counsel *no later than Friday, May 25, 2018*. Upon receipt of such a request from plaintiff, the Court will seek to appoint pro bono counsel.¹

Defendants' motion to dismiss (Dkt. 15) is re-noted for consideration on *May* 25, 2018. If plaintiff requests appointment of counsel by that date, the Court will re-note defendants' motion again to allow time for the Court to locate counsel and for appointed counsel to file a response to the motion. If plaintiff does not request appointment of counsel, the Court will proceed to issue a ruling on defendants' motion to dismiss.

The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to plaintiff, to counsel for defendants, and to the Honorable Richard A. Jones.

DATED this 3rd day of May, 2018.

Mary Alice Theiler

United States Magistrate Judge

¹ Plaintiff is advised that there is no guarantee that pro bono counsel can be retained, but the Court will make every effort to locate counsel should plaintiff indicate a desire to proceed with representation.